

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/678,642		10/03/2000	Morgan T. Schramm	10003012-1	6055
22879	7590	05/21/2004	EXAMINER		
HEWLETT	PACKA	RD COMPANY	BRINICH, STEPHEN M		
		4 E. HARMONY RO OPERTY ADMINIS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLI	LINS, CO	80527-2400	2624	91	
				DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004	Ц

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Transpark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
CONTROL NO.		PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	
			<del>- :</del>

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

4

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

· · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/678,642	SCHRAMM ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Stephen M Brinich	2624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication							
Period for Reply		•					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
•— •	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all	<u>'</u>						
closed in accordance with the practice und	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)	hdrawn from consideration. <u>d 23</u> is/are allowed. cted.						
Application Papers		,					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94t</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2.3</u>.</li> </ol>	-/	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/678,642 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Claim Objections

1. Claims 4-5 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

The comparisons recited in claim 4 appear to correspond to those already recited in parent claim 1 at lines 3-6. The comparisons recited in claim 5 appear to correspond to those already recited in parent claim 1 at lines 7-10.

2. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 4, line 3, "sum" should apparently read "the sum".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 8-10, 15, 18, 21, & 24 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to

Application/Control Number: 09/678,642 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8, line 3, claim 15, lines 3-4, claim 18, line 3, claim 21, line 9, and claim 24, line 9 each refers to "a pixel corresponding to the first color value". Claim 8, line 5, claim 15, line 5, claim 18, line 5, claim 21, line 10, and claim 24, line 10 each refers to "the pixel corresponding to the second color value". These phrases (particularly the latter, with the use of the word "the") seem to indicate a one-to-one correspondence between pixels and either the first or second color value. The reference in each of claim 8, lines 8-9, claim 15, lines 7-8, claim 18, lines 7-8, claim 21, lines 11-12, and claim 24, lines 11-12 to "the pixel corresponding to the first color value and the second color value" is thus unclear.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-3, 6-7, 11-14, 16-17, 19-20, & 22-23 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 8-10, 15, 18, 21, & 24 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

  U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 7. Claims 4-5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection(s) set forth in this Office action.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Application/Control Number: 09/678,642 Page 4
Art Unit: 2624

Re claims 1, 11, 16, 19, & 22 (and dependent claims 2-10, 12-15, 17-18, 20-21, & 23-24), the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited arrangement for placing two colorants in accordance with the recited set of comparisons using the recited first value, second value, third value, and sum of first and second values.

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 703-305-4390. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 7:00-4:30, alternate Fridays off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 703-306-0377.

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 703-308-7452.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 703-872-9306.

Stephen M Brinich

Examiner

Art Unit 2624

smb

May 14, 2004